



DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

the specification of which				
Ur or	I hereto. n (MM/DD/YYYY) nited States Applicatio PCT International Ap d was amended on (I	plication Number	as	
I hereby state that I have re specification, including the	eviewed and understa claim(s), as amended	and the contents of the above-ider d by any amendment referred to a	ntified bove.	
I acknowledge the duty to defined in Title 37, Code of	disclose all informatio Federal Regulations	n known to me to be material to p , Section 1.56.	atentability	/ as
foreign application(s) for pa	atent or inventor's cer patent or inventor's ce	e 35, United States Code, Section tificate listed below and have also ertificate having a filing date before	identified	below
Prior Foreign Application(s))		Priori <u>Claim</u>	
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
Number		(דדדוטטוואו		
		States Code, Section 119(e) of ar	ny United	States
I hereby claim the benefit u	sted below:	,	ny United	States

known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:
--

Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)	Status patented, pending, abandoned
Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)	Status patented, pending, abandoned
part of this document) as n	ny respective patent attorneys and n, to prosecute this application and	nich is incorporated by reference and a I patent agents, with full power of I to transact all business in the Patent
Send correspondence to		_, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR &
7AEMANIID 12400 Wil	(Name of Attorney or Agent)	Angeles, California 90025 and direct
telephone calls to	, (408 me of Attorney or Agent)	3) 720-8300.
(Nai	me of Attorney or Agent)	
statements made on info statements were made w are punishable by fine or	ermation and belief are believed with the knowledge that willful fa r imprisonment, or both, under S ch willful false statements may j	wn knowledge are true and that all to be true; and further that these lse statements and the like so made Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United eopardize the validity of the
Full Name of Sole/First Inv	entor Stephen Boyd	
Inventor's Signature	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date
Residence	(City, State)	tizenship
	(City, State)	(Country)
Post Office Address		
Full Name of Second/Joint	Inventor Xiling Shen	
Inventor's Signature		Date
Residence	Cit	rizenship
	(City, State)	(Country)
Post Office Address		
Full Name of Third/Joint In	ventor Mar Hershenson	
	;	Date
Residence	Cit (City, State)	tizenship(Country)
	(- ·- , ,	(,

Rev. 10/01/00 (D2)

Post Office Address	
Full Name of Fourth/Joint Inventor <u>Lieven</u>	ı Vandenberghe
Inventor's Signature	Date
Residence (City, State)	Citizenship(Country)
Post Office Address	
Full Name of Fifth/Joint Inventor <u>Cesar C</u>	rusius
Inventor's Signature	Date
Residence(City, State)	Citizenship(Country)
Post Office Address	
Full Name of Sixth/Joint Inventor <u>Dave C</u>	colleran
Inventor's Signature	Date
Residence	Citizenship(Country)
	(Country)
Full Name of Seventh/Joint Inventor Sun	derarjan Mohan
Inventor's Signature	Date
Residence(City, State)	Citizenship(Country)
Post Office Address	

APPENDIX A

William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. 42,261; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. 41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Lisa N. Benado, Reg. No. 39,995; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; R. Alan Burnett, Reg. No. 46,149; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Andrew C. Chen, Reg. No. 43,544; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Donna Jo Coningsby, Reg. No. 41,684; Florin Corie, Reg. No. 46,244; Dennis M. deGuzman, Reg. No. 41,702; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. 46,503; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Sanjeet Dutta, Reg. No. 41,405; Marbithew C. Fagan, Reg. No. 37,542; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; George Fountain, Reg. No. 37,374; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Libby N. Ho, Reg. No. 46,774; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. 41,845; Sherryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32, 992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Sang Hui Kim, Reg. No. 40,450; Walter T. Kim, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. King, Reg. No. 41,188; George Brian Leavell, Reg. No. 45,436; Kurt P. Leyendecker, Reg. No. 42,799; Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan Carol Little, Reg. No. 41,181; Robert G. Litts, Reg. No. 46,876; Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Clive D. Menezees, Reg. No. 45,493; Chun M. Ng, Reg. No. 36,878; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 48,385; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 45,493; Chun M. Ng, Reg. No. 36,878; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,385; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 40,243; Dennis A. Nicholls, Reg. No. 42,036; Robert B. O'Rourke, Reg. No. 46,972; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Kenneth B. Paley, Reg. No. 38,989; Gregg A. Peacock, Reg. No. 45,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,393; Vincent P. Tassinari, Reg. No. 42,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg.

APPENDIX B

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 <u>Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability</u>

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.